

Maryland Department of Environment

Water Management Administration Compliance Program - Western Division 33 W Franklin St, Ste 302, Hagerstown, MD 21742 301-665-2850

Field Inspection Report by: Oladapo John

Media Type(s): NPDES Industrial Minor Surface Water

Inspection Date: August 8, 2012

Site Name: National Archives & Records Administration

Facility Address: 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740

County: Prince George's County

NPDES Industrial Minor Surface Water

Permit / Approval Numbers: 09-DP-2904/MD0065871

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Contact(s):

 $Lawrence\ M.\ Holley\ Sr.-NARA\ Representative$

Jim Craig - MDE

Walter D. Hayes – LB&B Program Manager Jonathan Mack – LB&B Safety/QA Manager Ivan W. Austin – LB&B Chief Engineer

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Continue Routine Investigation

Inspection Reason: Violation Follow-up

Evidence Collected:

Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:

This date, Mr. Jim Craig of MDE and I made a follow-up inspection at the above facility to determine the compliance status of the industrial discharge permit associated with the site. Mr. Jonathan Mack of LB&B met us at the security post; we advised him of our visit and later followed him to his office. While there, we reviewed the DMR for the 2nd quarter of 2012, he further advised LB&B already mailed a copy to our Baltimore office. After the review, we noticed the following excursions for both Zinc and Copper. Mr. Jonathan advised LB&B will have a meeting with NALCO the following day to iron out the issue. In the interim I recommend NARA to submit a letter or report within the next five business days identifying excursions, what caused it and what might be done to prevent future occurrence.

Mr. Ivan Austin, the engineer also of LB&B joined us on an inspection of the cooling tower and the blow down trend. Mr. Austin advised the facility now discharge combination of both city and well water between 25,000 - 40,000 gallons through outfall 001 everyday. Visual observation at the time of this inspection, show no form of discharge at outfall 001 today. I requested for the field notes showing the weather condition, the daily flow, time and date, Mr. Austin advised apart from the daily flow reading from the meter, all other measurements and records are made by

Inspection Date: August 8, 2012

Site Name: National Archives & Records Administration Facility Address: 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740

NALCO representative once every month. I advised the facility to invest in measuring equipments (Ph, DO and Chlorine meters) especially with the above mention excursions values.

The permit (Pages 5-8) states that within three months of effective date of the permit, the permittee should submit to MDE a plan to evaluate the wastewater toxicity at the outfall 001 by using biomonitoring index. The compliance program has not yet receive this plan with over 2 years into the permit.

After conducting this inspection meeting with the permitee and their contractor, and reviewing the approved permit, the following items must be completed to bring the facility into compliance.

- Address the excursions issues (Copper and Zinc) and submit the reason within 5 business days of receiving this report.
- Create and maintain field note showing time and date, daily flow rate. During periodical blow down (cleaning
 the cooling tower) monitor and record the following physiochemical parameters (DO, Ph, and Residual
 Chlorine) and include all information outlined in the permit.
- The compliance program requires the permitee and contractor to submit name and Address of laboratory for our record purpose.
- Conduct and submit a wastewater toxicity plan using Biomonitoring index.

STATE LAW PROVIDES FOR PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND ENVIRONMENTAL ARTICLE TITLE 9. THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT MAY SEEK PENALTIES FOR THE AFORMENTIONED VIOLATIONS OF TITLE 9 ON THIS SITE

NPDES Industrial Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

Inspection Item	Status	Comments
1. Does the facility have a discharge permit?	No Violations	
[Environment Article §9-323a(1-3)]	Observed	
2. Is the discharge permit current? Has	No Violations	
facility applied for renewal? [Environment Article §9-328a(1)]	Observed	
3. Is the facility as described in the current	Out of	The treatment process is not in compliance with the
permit? Are treatment processes as described	Compliance	current permit
in the current permit? [COMAR		
[26.08.04.01.01B(4)]		
4. Has notification been submitted about any	No Violations	
new, different or increased discharges? [40	Observed	
CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section 122.42.b(1-		
[3)]		
5. Is the number and location of discharge	No Violations	
points as described in the discharge permit?	Observed	
[Environment Article §9-3314]		
6. Has permittee submitted correct name and	No Violations	
address of receiving waters? [40 CFR	Observed	
[122.21.j(3)]		
7. Is the permittee meeting the compliance	No Violations	
schedule per permit requirements? [COMAR	Observed	
26.08.04.02-1.02-1A(3)]		
8. Has the operator or superintendent been	No Violations	
certified by the Board in the appropriate	Observed	
classification for the facility? [COMAR		
26.06.01.05A(1)]		

August 8, 2012

Inspection Date: Site Name: Facility Address: National Archives & Records Administration 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740

NPDES Industrial Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

		Comments
Inspection Item	Status	Comments
9. Are adequate records being maintained for	Out of	Adequate records and field notes are not maintained for
the sampling date, time, and exact location;	Compliance	the sampling date, time and exact location
analysis dates and times; individual		
performing analysis; and analytical results?		
[COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(3)(a, b, c, e)]	0	
10. Are adequate records being maintained	Out of	Adequate records are not maintained for analytical
for the analytical methods/techniques used?	Compliance	methods/techniques used
[COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(3)(d)]	X	
11. Does the permittee retained a minimum of		
3 years worth of monitoring records including	Observed-	
raw data and original strip chart recordings;	Violation	
calibration and maintenance records; and	Trend	
reports? [COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(1)]	Observed	
12. Is the lab and monitoring equipment being	Not Evaluated	
properly calibrated and maintained? Are they		
keeping records to reflect this? [Environment		
Article §9-3313]	N . T	
13. Is laboratory controls and appropriate	Not Evaluated	
quality assurance procedures properly		
operated and maintained? [40 CFR Part 122		
Subpart C Section 122.41.e]		
14. Has the permittee submitted the		Permitee is currently going through DMR approval
monitoring results on the proper Discharge	Observed	
Monitoring Report form? [COMAR		
26.08.04.03.03C(1)]		
15. Has the permittee submitted these results	No Violations	
within the allotted time? [COMAR	Observed	
26.08.04.03.03C(2)]		
16. Are discharge monitoring reports	Out of	DMR's does not reflect the permit conditions
complete and reflect permit conditions?	Compliance	
[COMAR 26.08.04.03B(3)]		
	Not	
maintained including:(a) stand-by power or	Applicable	
equivalent provisions available, (b) adequate		
alarm system for power or equipment failure		
available, (c) all treatments units are in		
service, . [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.e]		
18. Is sewage sludge managed correctly per	Not	
permit requirements? [COMAR	Applicable	
26.04.06.03.03]		
19. Any by-pass since last inspection? Has	Not	
permittee submitted notice of any by-pass? [40	Applicable	
CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.m(4)(i)(C)]		
20. Any non-complying discharges	Not Evaluated	
experienced since last inspection? Has		
regulatory agency been notified? [40 CFR Part		
122 Subpart C Section 122.41.l(6)]		
21. Have overflows occurred since the last	Not	
inspection? [COMAR 26.08.10.02A]	Applicable	

Inspection Date: August 8, 2012

Site Name: National Archives & Records Administration Facility Address: 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740

Inspection ItemState22. Has records of overflows been maintainedNot

NPDES Industrial Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

Comments

Status

at the facility for at least five years? [COMAR 26.08.10.06A-B]	Applicable	
23. Are flow measuring devices properly installed and operated, calibration frequency of flow meter adequate, flow measurement equipment adequate to handle expected ranges of flow? [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section 122.41.e]	Not Evaluated	
24. Are discharge monitoring points adequate for representative sampling? Do parameters and sampling frequency meet the minimum requirements? Does the permittee use the method of sample collection required by the permit? [Environment Article §9-331(4)]	Compliance	The parameter sampling does not meet the minimum requirements
25. Are analytical testing procedures approved by EPA? If alternate analytical procedures are used, proper approval has been obtained? [COMAR 26.08.01.02B(1)]	Out of Compliance	Adequate records and field notes are not maintained for the sampling date, time and exact location
26. Has the permittee notified the Department of the name and address of the commercial laboratory? [COMAR 26.08.04.03.03A(3)]	Observed	
27. Were discharges observed at the authorized outfalls? Does the facility have any unauthorized discharges to waters of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]	No Violations Observed	
28. Does the discharges or receiving waters have any visible pollutants (oil sheen, grease, turbidity, foam, floating solids, color), odor, noncompliant DO concentrations, and/or noncompliant temperature ranges? [Environment Article §9-314b(1)]	No Violations Observed	
29. Were discharge samples collected?	No Violations	
[Environment Article §9-261c(1)] 30. Is the facility required to have a storm water pollution prevention plan? Has storm water pollution prevention plan been developed and implemented as required? Does storm water pollution prevention plan require modifications to prevent runoff of pollutants? [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart B Section 122.26.c(1)(I)(A-B)]	Observed No Violations Observed	
31. Are the permit conditions being met? [Environment Article §9-326a(1)]	Out of Compliance	Permit conditions are not being met
Inspector: Oladapo John		Received by: